

Curriculum Topic : Time-Harmonic Transmission Lines

THT2 : Sinusoids on Transmission Lines

<i>Module Outline:</i>	
Prerequisite Skills	Competencies
Supplemental Reading and Resources	Assessments
Power Point Slides and Notes	

Prerequisite Skills

Prerequisites / Requirements:

THT1 Phasor Review

Competencies

Competency THT.2: Describe the mathematical form of sinusoidal waves on a transmission line

Competency Builders:

THT.2.1 Write the voltage and current solutions for a time-harmonic transmission line in the phasor domain

THT.2.2 Quantify the relationship between wavenumber, wavelength, and frequency

Supplemental Reading and Resources

Supplemental Reading Materials:

Prof. Peterson's online lecture notes 13

Assessments

The following questions and exercises may serve as either pre-assessment or post-assessment tests to evaluate student knowledge.

Question: THT.2.1

Competency: THT.2.1

True or False: Under steady-state time-harmonic excitation, a useful equivalent circuit for a transmission line is two short circuit pathways.

Answer:

false

Question: THT.2.2

Competency: THT.2.2

A transmission line with velocity of propagation 1.7×10^8 m/s is excited at 2.45 GHz. What is the wavenumber of this line?

Answer:

90.6 rad/m

Question: THT.2.3

Competency: THT.2.1

Transmission Line with Sinusoidal Excitation: (30 points) Below are phasor-domain voltage and current to a transmission line operating with steady-state sinusoids with frequency f :

$$\tilde{v}(z) = 100 \exp(-j\beta[z - D]) + 50 \exp(j\pi + j\beta[z - D])$$

$$\tilde{i}(z) = \exp(-j\beta[z - D]) - \frac{1}{2} \exp(j\pi + j\beta[z - D])$$

where $z = 0$ is the source side and $z = D$ is the load side. Perform the following analysis:

- In the equations above, circle the portion of the solution representing the backward-propagating current waveform. (5 points)
- In the equations above, box the forward-propagating *amplitude* of the voltage waveform. (5 points)
- Write a simplified expression for time-varying voltage evaluated at the front of the line ($z = 0$). Your answer should be in the time domain, $v(t)$. (5 points)
- What is the characteristic impedance, Z_0 , of this line? (5 points)
- What is the VSWR of voltages on this line? (5 points)
- What is the load impedance, Z_L , for this line? (5 points)

Answer:

(a) In the equations above, circle the portion of the solution representing the backward-propagating current waveform. *The term $\frac{1}{2} \exp(j\pi + j\beta[z - D])$ should be circled.*

(b) In the equations above, box the forward-propagating *amplitude* of the voltage waveform. *The amplitude 100 should be boxed.*

(c) This follows from the phasor inverse transform definition (see formula sheet)

$$\begin{aligned}v(t, 0) &= \text{Real} \{ \tilde{v}(0) \exp(j2\pi ft) \} \\ &= 100 \cos(2\pi ft + \beta D) + 50 \cos(2\pi ft - \beta D + \pi) \\ &= 100 \cos(2\pi ft + \beta D) - 50 \cos(2\pi ft - \beta D)\end{aligned}$$

Anyone who made it to line two got full credit.

(d) $Z_0 = 100\Omega$

(e) There are two ways of doing this. First, we immediately see that $|\Gamma| = 0.5$ because the reflected voltage/current wave is half the magnitude of the forward voltage/current wave. This can be plugged directly into one of the VSWR formulas to get VSWR=3. The same result can be obtained from the following reasoning: VSWR is the ratio of max voltage to min voltage on the line; the max voltage occurs when the forward wave adds in phase with the backwards wave (100+50 V); the min voltage occurs when the forward wave adds out-of-phase (destructively) with the backwards wave (100-50 V); thus, the VSWR will be 150/50 or 3.

(f) For this problem, I gave full credit to anyone who put down line 1 of the following answer, but did not finish the calculation. Very few people got full credit for this problem.

$$\begin{aligned}Z_L &= \frac{\tilde{v}(D)}{\tilde{i}(D)} \\ &= \frac{100 + 50 \exp(j\pi)}{1 - \frac{1}{2} \exp(j\pi)} \\ &= \frac{100 - 50}{1 + \frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \frac{100}{3} \Omega\end{aligned}$$

Many people tried to solve this from the VSWR by using the property $|\Gamma| = \frac{1}{2}$ to backsolve $\Gamma = \frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0}$. This actually doesn't work because it only gives you the *magnitude* of the reflection coefficient. Many people worked the problem with $\Gamma = \frac{1}{2}$ and found $Z_L = 300\Omega$, when in fact the reflection coefficient for this particular example was $\Gamma = -\frac{1}{2}$.